arXiv:1609.00987v3 [q-fin.PR] 16 Nov 2016

Non-Gaussian analytic option pricing: a closed formula for the Lévy-stable model

Jean-Philippe Aguilar*, Cyril Coste

BRED Banque Populaire, Modeling Department
18 quai de la Râpée, 75012 Paris

–

16 september 2016

Abstract

We establish an explicit pricing formula for a class of non-Gaussian models (the Lévy-stable, or Log-Lévy model with finite moments and stability parameter $1 < \alpha \leq 2$) allowing a straightforward evaluation of an European option, without numerical simulations and with as much accuracy as one wishes. The formula can be used by any practitioner, even if not familiar with the underlying residue theory in $\mathbb{C}^2$. We make some practical examples and also introduce extensions to other Lévy-related models.

Keywords: European options, Lévy process, Stable distributions, Mellin transform, Multidimensional complex analysis.

*Corresponding author

We thank Hagen Kleinert and Jan Korbel for carefully reading the manuscript and providing several improvements. We also thank Raphaël Douady and Zari Rachev for their interest in this work and their valuable suggestions.

Email addresses: jean-philippe.aguilar@bred.fr (Jean-Philippe Aguilar), cyril.coste@bred.fr (Cyril Coste)

INTRODUCTION.

The Black-Scholes (BS) model [Black and Scholes, 1973] opened the way to the development of derivatives theory, and remains a fundamental tool in the construction of hedging strategies. It is a Gaussian model (the underlying price being described by a geometric brownian motion) which has the particularly nice feature to possess an exact solution, that is, the price of an european option under this model can be written out explicitely via a formula involving only elementary functions.

The Black-Scholes theory, however, does not describe well extreme events, such as dramatic prices drops, which, in the past few decades, appeared to occur more often than expected by Gaussian models [Kleinert, 2013]; several other models have thus been proposed to describe better the complexity of financial markets. Among these models, those involving *α-stable distributions* (also known as *Lévy distributions*) play an important role, because they allow the underlying price to jump at any time at any non-negative value (indeed, α-stable distributions have been used in financial modeling since the works of Mandelbrot and Fama in the 1960s [Mandelbrot, 1963, Fama, 1965]). The *stability parameter* $\alpha \in [0, 2]$ is to be calibrated from market observations, and special values of α recover known cases ($\alpha = 2$ is the Gaussian distribution, $\alpha = 1$ is the Cauchy distribution...); of particular interest to us will be α-stable distributions such that $\alpha \in]1, 2]$ which are known to be financially relevant (Mandelbrot calls them *Lévy-Pareto* distributions and estimates $\alpha = 1.7$ for cotton price; more recent observations on various markets have led to values between 1.5 -for more volatile markets- and 1.7, see [Bouchaud *et al.* 1997] and references therein).

However, in general, a price (or log-price) S_t driven by a Lévy distribution does not possess finite moments $\langle S_t^n \rangle$, resulting in potentially infinite option values (see [Carr and Wu, 2003] and references therein). To cope with this divergence, Carr and Wu introduced, in 2003, a model featuring a Lévy-driven underlying price [Carr and Wu, 2003], with a so-called condition of *maximal negative skewness* on the Levy process that ensures the option price to remain finite. Following Carr and Wu, we will refer to this model as the *FMLS* (Finite Moment Log Stable) or symply the *Lévy-stable* model.

Existing option pricing methods under Lévy stable model include Monte-Carlo related simulations [Tankov and Poirot, 2006], which converge with a very good degree of precision (although structurally possessing a statistical error), and numerical evaluation of integrals (Mellin-Barnes integrals in

[Kleinert and Korbel, 2016], integrals on the Lévy density in [Hurst *et al.*, 1999]). However, so far, no simple closed formula existed to evaluate the option price directly, like one does in the Black-Scholes case. The purpose of this paper is to establish such a formula for the Lévy-stable model.
In this introductory section we briefly recall some facts and notations about the BS and log-Lévy models, and the main results contained in this paper.

Option pricing under Black-Scholes.

The BS model assumes that the underlying price S_t is described by a geometric brownian motion with *drift* μ and *volatility* σ:

$$dS_t \,=\, \mu S_t dt \,+ \sigma S_t dW_t \tag{1}$$

Denoting by r the risk-free interest rate, then the price $V_{BS}(S,K,t)$ of an European call option with strike K obeys the PDE with terminal condition:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial V_{BS}}{\partial t} + \dfrac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \dfrac{\partial^2 V_{BS}}{\partial S^2} + rS\dfrac{\partial V_{BS}}{\partial S} - rV_{BS} \,=\, 0 \\ V_{BS}(S,K,T) \,=\, [S-K]^+ \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Introducing the variables $\tau = T - t$ and $x = \log S + (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})\tau$, and denoting $V_{BS}(S,K,t) = e^{-r\tau}W(x,K,\tau)$, then the modified call price $W(x,K,\tau)$ satisfies the diffusion equation (see [Wilmott, 2006]):

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial \tau} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x^2} \,=\, 0 \tag{3}$$

where the terminal condition now reads $W(x,K,0) = [e^x - K]^+$. The Green function for (3) is the heat kernel:

$$g(y,\tau) \,=\, \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2\tau}} \tag{4}$$

and therefore, turning back to the S-variable, we can write the call price as:

$$V_{BS}(S,K,\tau) \,=\, e^{-r\tau} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [Se^{(r-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\tau + y} - K]^+ g(y,\tau)dy \tag{5}$$

Integral (5) can be performed (after a change of variables and a square completion trick in the resulting gaussian integral, see [Wilmott, 2006]), with the result:

$$\begin{cases} V_{BS}(S,K,\tau) \;=\; SN(d_+) - Ke^{-r\tau}N(d_-) \;\; \textit{(Black-Scholes Formula)} \\ d_\pm \;=\; \dfrac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}}[\log] \pm \dfrac{1}{2}\sigma\sqrt{\tau}\;, \;\; [\log] = \log\dfrac{S}{K} + r\tau \\ N(d_\pm) = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\displaystyle\int_{-\infty}^{d_\pm} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx \;=\; \dfrac{1}{2}[1 + \mathrm{Erf}(\dfrac{d_\pm}{\sqrt{2}})] \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Finally, applying series expansion for the cumulative distribution function $N(d_\pm)$ to the Black-Scholes formula (6), it is straightforward to observe that the series expansion for the option price has the generic form

$$V_{BS}(S,K,\tau) \;=\; \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z},\, j\in\mathbb{N}} A_{i,j}[\log]^j(\sigma\sqrt{\tau})^{2i+1} \;\;,\;\; A_{i,j}\in\mathbb{R} \tag{7}$$

Option Pricing under Lévy-stable model.

In this model, the underlying price is assumed to be described by the stochastic differential equation

$$dS_t \;=\; rS_t dt \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\sigma S_t dL_{\alpha,\beta}(t) \tag{8}$$

where $L_{\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is a Lévy distribution (see the extensive textbook [Kleinert, 2009]). It possesses two parameters: the *stability parameter* $\alpha \in [0,2]$ wich controls the departure from a standard Brownian motion ($\alpha = 2$ being the case where the Lévy process degenerates into a Brownian motion); and an *asymetry*, or *skewness parameter* β, which can equivalently be described by a parameter θ that is confined to a region of the type

$$\theta \leq \min\{\alpha, 2-\alpha\} \tag{9}$$

Within the framework of fractional analysis, this region is called the *Feller-Takayasu diamond* (interested readers can refer to [Gorenflo and Mainardi, 2012]); for instance, in the case of a Brownian motion, we have $\alpha = 2$ and therefore $\theta = 0$.

As we said previously, in the Lévy-stable model, one imposes a maximal negative skewness condtion. It corresponds to the value $\beta = -1$ or, equivalently, in the Feller-Takayasu representation, $\theta = \alpha - 2$. In this case, the price of an european call option can be written out as [Kleinert and Korbel, 2016]:

$$V_\alpha(S,K,\tau) \;=\; e^{-r\tau}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}[Se^{(r+\lambda)\tau+y}-K]^+ g_\alpha\left(\frac{y}{(-\lambda\tau)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}},\tau\right)dy \qquad (10)$$

where $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sigma^\alpha}{\cos\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}}$ and where the Green function satisfies the fractional diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial g_\alpha}{\partial\tau} \;+\; \lambda D_y^\alpha g_\alpha \;=\; 0 \qquad (11)$$

Note that, in the degenerate case $\alpha = 2$, then $\lambda = -\frac{\sigma^2}{2}$, $g_\alpha = g(y,\tau)$ is the heat kernel and (10) reduces to the Black-Scholes price (5). When $\alpha < 2$, the operator $D^\alpha := D^\alpha_{\alpha-2}$ in the fractional diffusion (11) is a particular case of a *Riesz-Feller derivatives*, a 2-parameter operator defined by its action in the Fourier space [Gorenflo and Mainardi, 2012]:

$$\widehat{D^\alpha_\theta f}(k) \;=\; |k|^\alpha e^{i(\mathrm{sgn}k)\frac{\theta\pi}{2}}\,\widehat{f}(k) \qquad (12)$$

Organization of the paper.

- We start by recalling basic concepts on the Mellin transform and its application to series expansions, as well as introducing some distributional properties and fundamental concepts of multidimensional complex analysis;
- We establish a closed formula for the Lévy-stable model with stability parameter $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, under the form of an absolutely convergent series whose terms are straightforward to calculate (formula (95)). This formula can be used by any financial professional or scholar, even if one is not familiar with the underlying maths.
- We make some numerical applications and briefly discuss other cases where the method applies (generic Levy processes with arbitrary skewness, double fractional diffusion).

MELLIN-BARNES REPRESENTATIONS, DISTRIBUTION-LINKED PROPERTIES AND EXTENSION TO $\mathbb{C}^n$.

We start by introducing the mathematical framework which will be used to derive the pricing formula (95): the relation between singular parts of the Mellin transform and series expansions for the original function, the particular case of the Gamma function and its distributional analogue, and finally some $\mathbb{C}^2$-analysis concepts that arise when dealing with two or more Mellin transforms.

The one-dimensional Mellin transform.

See [Flajolet *et al.* 1995] for an excellent overview of the Mellin transform and its applications, and [Erdélyi *et al.* 1954] for a dictionnary of frequently used transforms.
The Mellin transform of a locally integrable function f on $(0,\infty)$ is the function $f^* : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$f^*(s) \;=\; \int_0^\infty f(x)x^{s-1}\,dx \tag{13}$$

In general, the integral (13) converges on a *strip* (the so-called *fundamental strip* of f^*) in $\mathbb{C}$, that is an open subset of the type

$$\langle a,b\rangle \;=\; \{s \in \mathbb{C}, Re(s) \in (a,b)\} \tag{14}$$

where a and b are determined by the asymptotic behaviour of f around 0 and ∞. In this framework, the Gamma function, also known as *Euler's integral of the second kind* and defined by

$$\Gamma(s) \;=\; \int_0^\infty e^{-x}x^{s-1}\,dx \tag{15}$$

is the Mellin transform of e^{-x} with fundamental strip $\langle 0,\infty\rangle$. In Table 1, we list some important properties of the Mellin Transform which follow directly from definition (13).

$\mathbf{f(x)}$	$\mathbf{f^*(s)}$	$\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$	
$f(x^\alpha)$	$\frac{1}{\alpha} f^*(\frac{s}{\alpha})$	$\langle \alpha a, \alpha b \rangle$	$\alpha > 0$
$x^\beta f(x)$	$f^*(\beta + s)$	$\langle a - \beta, b - \beta \rangle$	
$f(1/x)$	$f^*(-s)$	$\langle -b, -a \rangle$	
$f(\mu x)$	$\frac{1}{\mu^s} f^*(s)$	$\langle a, b \rangle$	$\mu > 0$ *['Rescaling']*
$\frac{d}{dx} f(x)$	$-(s-1) f^*(s-1)$	$\langle a - 1, b - 1 \rangle$	

Table 1: Basic properties of the Mellin Transform

The inversion of the Mellin transform is performed via an integral along any vertical line in the fundamental strip:

$$f(x) \;=\; \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} f^*(s)\, x^{-s}\, ds \;\;,\;\; c \in (a, b) \tag{16}$$

and in the case where f^* is a product and/or ratio of Gamma functions of linear argument, integral (16) is said to be a *Mellin-Barnes integral*. The simplest case of such an integral is the inversion of (15), which, combined with the rescaling rule in table 1 gives

$$e^{-Ax} \;=\; \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{1}{A^s} \Gamma(s)\, x^{-s}\, ds \;,\;\; A > 0 \tag{17}$$

for any $c > 0$. Formula (16) can be used directly to compute the initial function via numerical methods; but it also allows to estimate analytically the function by closing the contour outside the fundamental strip and summing the residues at arising poles. For example, the Gamma function, which extends analytically the factorial on the right-half complex plane, satisfies the functionnal relation $\Gamma(s+1) = s\Gamma(s)$, thus for any integer n:

$$\Gamma(s) \;=\; \frac{\Gamma(s+n+1)}{s(s+1)...(s+n)} \underset{s \to -n}{\sim} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{1}{s+n} \tag{18}$$

which means that the gamma function possesses simple poles at every negative integer, with residue $\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}$. We will write:

$$\Gamma(s) \;\asymp\; \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{1}{s+n} \tag{19}$$

where the formal series in the right hand side of (19) is called the *singular series* of the Gamma function. This series encodes the asymptotic behavior of e^{-x} for small x: as $x^{-s} \underset{s\to-\infty}{\to} 0$ when $|x|<1$, left-closing the contour in (17) and applying the residues theorem yields:

$$e^{-Ax} \underset{|x|<1}{=} \frac{1}{0!}(Ax)^0 - \frac{1}{1!}(Ax)^1 + \frac{1}{2!}(Ax)^2 - \frac{1}{3!}(Ax)^3 + \cdots \tag{20}$$

recovering the classical Taylor expansion around 0.
Reciprocally, if one splits the Gamma function in

$$\Gamma(s) \;=\; \int_0^1 e^{-x}x^{s-1}\,dx \;+\; \int_1^\infty e^{-x}x^{s-1}\,dx \tag{21}$$

and insert the Taylor expansion around 0 for e^{-x} in the $[0,1]$-integral, we obtain a "singular/regular" decomposition for the Gamma function:

$$\Gamma(s) \;=\; \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!}\frac{1}{s+n}}_{\underline{singular\,part}} \;+\; \underbrace{\int_1^\infty e^{-x}x^{s-1}\,dx}_{\underline{regular\,part},\,analytic\,in\,\mathbb{C}} \tag{22}$$

as the second integral converges for any $s\in\mathbb{C}$.
Suppose now that $|x|>1$ and consider the following Mellin transform:

$$\int_0^\infty f\Big(\frac{1}{x}\Big)x^{-s-1}dx \;=\; \int_0^\infty f(y)y^{s-1}dy \;=\; f^*(s) \tag{23}$$

Therefore, applying the inversion formula (16) yields:

$$f\Big(\frac{1}{x}\Big) \;=\; \frac{1}{2i\pi}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} f^*(s)x^{+s}d(-s) \;=\; \frac{1}{2i\pi}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} -f^*(-s)x^{-s}ds \tag{24}$$

and thus, as $x^{-s} \underset{s\to+\infty}{\to} 0$ when $|x|>1$, right-summing the residues of $-f^*(-s)$ provides the series expansion for $f(\frac{1}{x})$.

Distributional interpretation.

In this section we discuss the properties of a specific class of integrals that converge for no value of the Mellin variable (i.e. have an empty fundamental strip), that we denote by $\Omega_p(z)$:

$$\Omega_p(z) \;=\; \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{px} x^z \, dx \tag{25}$$

for some p and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. These quantities are closely related to the Mellin transform of powers of logarithms, which, with the change of variable $y = e^x$, reads:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \log^z y \, y^{p-1} \, dy \;=\; \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{px} x^z \, dx \;=\; \Omega_p(z) \tag{26}$$

To give a sense to (25), recall that the Fourier transform on the Schwartz class of rapidly decreasing functions $\mathcal{S}$ (see [Donoghue, 1969] for instance) reads:

$$\mathcal{F}[\varphi](\chi) \;=\; \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-2i\pi\chi x} \varphi(x) \, dx \tag{27}$$

and that this definition extends to tempered distributions $T \in \mathcal{S}'$ by setting $\langle \mathcal{F}[T], \varphi \rangle \;=\; \langle T, \mathcal{F}[\varphi] \rangle$. We thus identify (25) to a particular value of the Fourier transform of the tempered distribution x^z:

$$\Omega_p(z) \;=\; \mathcal{F}[x^z]\Big(\frac{ip}{2\pi}\Big) \tag{28}$$

Note that (25) can be ill-defined (depending on the values of z), because of the branch cut along the real negative axis. If $z = k \in \mathbb{N}$, however, the powers x^k are well-defined and this case reduces to the well-known computation of the Fourier Transform of positive integer powers of x, which results in derivatives of the δ distribution [Gel'fand and Shilov, 1964]:

$$\mathcal{F}[x^k](\chi) \;=\; (-2i\pi)^{-k} \delta^{(k)}_{(\chi)} \tag{29}$$

And therefore, we have:

$$\Omega_{\pm 2i\pi p}(k) \;= (\pm 2i\pi)^{-k} \delta^{(k)}_{(p)} \tag{30}$$

The extension to negative integers goes as follows: suppose that z is an integer and integrate by parts to get

$$p^{z+1}\delta_{(p)}^{(z+1)} \;=\; -(z+1)p^z\delta_{(p)}^{(z)} \;\Rightarrow\; \frac{\delta_{(p)}^{(z)}}{p} \;=\; -\frac{\delta_{(p)}^{(z+1)}}{z+1} \tag{31}$$

Using (30) we thus have:

$$\frac{\Omega_{-2i\pi p}(z)}{2i\pi p} \;=\; \frac{1}{1+z}\Omega_{-2i\pi p}(1+z) \tag{32}$$

which shows that $\frac{\Omega_{-2i\pi p}(z)}{2i\pi p}$ has a pole in $z=-1$, with residue $\Omega_{-2i\pi p}(0)=\delta_{(p)}$. Iterating the procedure shows that $\frac{\Omega_{2i\pi p}(z)}{(2i\pi p)^n}$ has a pole at every strictly negative integer $z=-n$, with residue $-\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\delta_{(p)}$: this is the distributional analogue of the singular structure for the Gamma function (19). Interested readers can refer to [Gel'fand and Shilov, 1964] and [Franssens, 2011].

$\mathbb{C}^n$-theory.

Excellent overview of multidimensional complex analysis can be found in the classical Griffith and Harris monograph [Griffiths and Harris, 1978]; a Mellin-oriented introduction is made in the doctoral dissertation [Aguilar, 2008] (in French) with applications in Particle Physics [Aguilar *et al.*, 2008].
We restrain ourselves to $n=2$, because it will be the case in our applications; higher dimensional cases work exactely in the same way.
Let $U\subset\mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathcal{O}_2(U)$ denote the set of $U\to\mathbb{C}$ -holomorphic functions (that is, satisfying the Cauchy-Riemann equations in both variables); we note $\mathcal{O}_2 := \mathcal{O}_2(\{\underline{0}\})$ the set of holomorphic functions in $\underline{0} := (0,0)$ and consider 3 elements g, f_1, f_2 of $\mathcal{O}_2$ as well a the differential 2-form

$$\omega \;=\; \frac{g}{f_1 f_2}d\underline{z}\;,\;\; d\underline{z}=dz_1\wedge dz_2 \tag{33}$$

If f_1 and f_2 both vanish in $\underline{0}$ (or, algebraically speaking, form a *regular sequence in the local ring* $\mathcal{O}_2$), then the quantity

$$\mathrm{Res}_0\,\omega \;:=\; \frac{1}{(2i\pi)^2}\int\limits_{\substack{|f_1|=\epsilon_1\\|f_2|=\epsilon_2}}\omega \tag{34}$$

is independent of ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 and is called the *Grothendieck residue* of ω in 0. The subsets $D_i := \{\underline{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^2, f_i(z) = 0\}$ for $i = 1, 2$ are called the *divisors* of ω. In particular, if $f_1(z_1, z_2) = z_1$ and $f_2(z_1, z_2) = z_2$ then

$$\mathrm{Res}_0\, \omega = \frac{1}{(2i\pi)^2} \int\limits_{\substack{|z_1|=\epsilon_1 \\ |z_2|=\epsilon_2}} g\, \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \frac{dz_2}{z_2} = g(\underline{0}) \tag{35}$$

by a sequential application of the Cauchy formula in $\mathbb{C}$; of course, (35) can be extend to any $\underline{Z}$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ by a change of variables. For instance consider the 2-form $\omega = \Gamma(az_1)\Gamma(bz_2)d\underline{z}$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$; ω is singular in $\underline{z} = (-\frac{n}{a}, -\frac{m}{b})$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and we know from the singular series for the Gamma function (19) that around these singularities ω admits the behavior

$$\omega \underset{\underline{t} \to (-\frac{n}{a}, -\frac{m}{b})}{\sim} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \frac{dz_1}{n + az_1} \wedge \frac{dz_2}{m + az_2} \tag{36}$$

Changing the variables:

$$\tilde{z_1} = n + az_1\ ,\ \tilde{z_2} = m + az_2\ ,\ d\tilde{z_1} \wedge d\tilde{z_2} = ab\, dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \tag{37}$$

brings back the residue computation in $\underline{0}$; applying (35) gives

$$\mathrm{Res}_{(-\frac{n}{a}, -\frac{m}{b})}\omega \;=\; \frac{1}{ab} \frac{(-1)^{n+m}}{n!m!} \tag{38}$$

Note that (36) implies that the divisors of ω are the two families $D_1 := \{z_1 = -\frac{n}{a},\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $D_2 := \{z_1 = -\frac{m}{b},\ m \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
Now let us go back to an arbitrary 2-form ω, and let $\underline{c} := (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$; we want to know if integrals of the type

$$\frac{1}{(2i\pi)^2} \int\limits_{\underline{c} + i\mathbb{R}^2} \omega \tag{39}$$

(which arise when applying the inversion formula (16) to two Mellin transforms) can be expressed as a sum of Grothendieck residues. The answer is yes [Passare *et al.*, 1994]: consider a *cone* Π in $\mathbb{C}^2$, that is, a subset of the type

$$\Pi \;:=\; \{\underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^2, Re(h_1(\underline{z})) \leq c_1\} \;\times\; \{\underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^2, Re(h_2(\underline{z})) \leq c_2\} \tag{40}$$

for two applications $h_1, h_2 : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$. Its *faces* are the sets

$$\begin{cases} \partial\{\underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^2, Re(h_1(\underline{z})) = c_1\} := \varphi_1 \\ \partial\{\underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^2, Re(h_2(\underline{z})) = c_2\} := \varphi_2 \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

and its *distinguished boundary*, or *vertex*, is the intersection of its faces:

$$\partial_0\Pi := \varphi_1 \cap \varphi_2 \tag{42}$$

If ω possesses two divisors D_1 and D_2 which satisfy the *compatibility condition*

$$D_1 \cap \varphi_1 = D_2 \cap \varphi_2 = \emptyset \tag{43}$$

then, denoting the divisors intersection $D := D_1 \cap D_2$ we have the residues theorem

$$\frac{1}{(2i\pi)^2} \int_{\partial_0\Pi} \omega = \sum_{\underline{z} \in \Pi \cap D} \mathrm{Res}_{\underline{z}}\, \omega \tag{44}$$

and the series on the right-hand-side of (44) is absolutely convergent. Therefore, if one chooses the applications h_1 and h_2 in such a way that $\partial_0\Pi = \underline{c} + i\mathbb{R}^2$, then one can compute the inverse Mellin transform (39) by using the formula (44). One may take note that, in general, formula (44) requires some growing assumptions on ω; in the case of Mellin inversions however, if one deals with ratios of products of Gamma function of linear arguments (that is, with Mellin-Barnes integrals), these assumptions are satisfied in every compatible cone because the behavior of the Gamma function is controlled by a Stirling expansion when $|\underline{z}| \to \infty$ (technical details can be found in [Passare *et al.*, 1994]).

LÉVY-STABLE PRICE FOR AN EUROPEAN OPTION.

In this section, we start by deriving a multidimensional Mellin-Barnes representation for the European call price under Lévy-stable model. This leads us to consider the singularities of a complex 2-form, whose residues are determined by the application of derivatives of the Dirac distribution to a certain test function; computing and summing these results will result in formula (95). Last, we provide practical examples and numerical results.

Green formulation.

As mentioned in the introductory part, under the finite moment Lévy-stable model, the Green function satisfies the fractional diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial g_\alpha}{\partial \tau} \,+\, \lambda D_y^\alpha g_\alpha \,=\, 0 \tag{45}$$

where $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sigma^\alpha}{\eta}$, $\eta = -\cos\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$ and $D^\alpha = D^\alpha_{\alpha-2}$. We will restrain ourselves to the case where $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, that is, the case of Lévy-Pareto distributions; note that in that case, $\eta > 0$ and $\lambda < 0$.
Green functions for generic fractional diffusion with parameters (α, θ) have been extensively studied in [Mainardi *et al.*, 2005], and expressed as Mellin-Barnes integrals:

$$g_{\alpha,\theta}(y) \,=\, \frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{1}{2i\pi}\int\limits_{c_{t_1}-i\infty}^{c_{t_1}+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t_1}{\alpha})\Gamma(1-t_1)}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\theta}{2\alpha}t_1)\Gamma(1-\frac{\alpha-\theta}{2\alpha}t_1)}\, y^{t_1-1}\, dt_1 \;,\;\; 0 < c_{t_1} < \alpha \tag{46}$$

Under the maximal skewness assumption $\theta = \alpha - 2$, the Green function $g_\alpha := g_{\alpha,\alpha-2}$ thus reads

$$g_\alpha(y) \,=\, \frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{1}{2i\pi}\int\limits_{c_{t_1}-i\infty}^{c_{t_1}+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(1-t_1)}{\Gamma(1-\frac{t_1}{\alpha})}\, y^{t_1-1}\, dt_1 \tag{47}$$

with fundamental strip $\langle -\infty, 1\rangle$. Replacing in (10) yields the Green representation for the option price:

$$\begin{aligned} V_\alpha(S,K,\tau) \,=\, & \frac{e^{-r\tau}}{\alpha}\times \\ & \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [Se^{(r-\frac{\sigma^\alpha}{2\eta})\tau+y} - K]^+ \frac{1}{2i\pi}\int\limits_{c_{t_1}-i\infty}^{c_{t_1}+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(1-t_1)}{\Gamma(1-\frac{t_1}{\alpha})}\, 2^{\frac{t_1}{\alpha}} (\sigma\overline{\tau})^{-t_1} y^{t_1-1}\, dt_1 dy \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where we denote $\overline{\tau} := (\frac{\tau}{\eta})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$.

Multidimensional Mellin-Barnes representation.

Claim 1 *The payoff function in (48) admits the following Mellin representation, with fundamental strip* $< \ -\infty, -1 \ >$ *([Frontczak and Shobel, 2008], [Manuge, 2013]):*

$$[Se^{(r-\frac{\sigma^\alpha}{2\eta})\tau+y} - K]^+ \ = \ \frac{K}{2i\pi}\int\limits_{c_s-i\infty}^{c_s+i\infty} -\frac{e^{-(r-\frac{\sigma^\alpha}{2\eta})\tau s - ys}}{s(s+1)}\Big(\frac{S}{K}\Big)^{-s} ds \tag{49}$$

To prove the claim, first denote $X = -(r-\frac{\sigma^\alpha}{2\eta})\tau s - ys$; the payoff can be written

$$[Se^X - K]^+ \ = \ Se^X[1 - \frac{1}{\frac{Se^X}{K}}]^+ \ = \ Se^X f\left(\frac{1}{\frac{Se^X}{K}}\right) \tag{50}$$

where $f(y) = [1-y]^+$. Direct calculation yields the Mellin transform of $f(y)$:

$$\int\limits_0^\infty f(y)y^{s-1}dy \ = \ \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s+1} \ = \ \frac{1}{s(s+1)} \tag{51}$$

which, from Riemann's rule, exists for $Re(s) \in]0, \infty[$. As the payoff is not null only for $\frac{Se^X}{K} > 1$, it is natural to use formula (24):

$$[Se^X - K]^+ \ = \ \frac{Se^X}{2i\pi}\int\limits_{c_s-i\infty}^{c_s+i\infty} \frac{1}{s(1-s)}\Big(\frac{Se^X}{K}\Big)^{-s} ds \, , \ c_s \in]-\infty, 0[\tag{52}$$

Making the change of variables $s \to s-1$, we obtain

$$[Se^X - K]^+ \ = \ \frac{K}{2i\pi}\int\limits_{c_s-i\infty}^{c_s+i\infty} -\frac{e^{-sX}}{s(1+s)}\Big(\frac{S}{K}\Big)^{-s} ds \, , \ c_s \in]-\infty, -1[\tag{53}$$

which terminates the proof. Now, replacing in (48), introducing the quantity

$$[\log] \ := \ \log\frac{S}{K} \ + \ r\tau \tag{54}$$

and recalling definition (25) for the $\Omega_p(z)$ integrals gives:

$$V_\alpha(S,K,\tau) \;=\; \frac{e^{-r\tau}}{\alpha}\times$$
$$\frac{1}{(2i\pi)^2}\int_{c_s-i\infty}^{c_s+i\infty}\int_{c_{t_1}-i\infty}^{c_{t_1}+i\infty} -K\frac{\Omega_{-s}(t_1-1)e^{-s[\log]}}{s(s+1)}e^{\frac{\sigma^\alpha}{2\eta}s\tau}2^{\frac{t_1}{\alpha}}(\sigma\overline{\tau})^{-t_1}x^{t_1-1}\,ds\wedge dt_1 \quad (55)$$

Claim 2 *The following Mellin-Barnes representation holds with fundamental strip* $\langle 0,+\infty\rangle$*:*

$$e^{\frac{\sigma^\alpha}{2\eta}s\tau} \;=\; \frac{1}{2i\pi}\int_{c_{t_2}-i\infty}^{c_{t_2}+i\infty}\frac{1}{\alpha}(-1)^{-\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}2^{\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}s^{-\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}\Gamma\left(\frac{t_2}{\alpha}\right)(\sigma\overline{\tau})^{-t_2}dt_2 \qquad (56)$$

This representation is a very direct consequence of the Mellin-Barnes representation (17) for e^{-Ax}, combined with the properties listed in table 1. It is valid because the s-integration in (55) is performed along a line where $Re(s)<0$, and that $\eta>0$ for Lévy-Pareto distributions.
Replacing in (55) and making the change of variables $t_1\to 1+t_1$, we obtain the following representation for the call price:

$$V_\alpha(S,K,\tau) \;=\; e^{-r\tau}\frac{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{\alpha^2}\times$$
$$\frac{1}{(2i\pi)^3}\int_{\underline{c}+i\mathbb{R}^3} -K(-1)^{-\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}2^{\frac{t_1+t_2}{\alpha}}s^{-\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}\frac{\Omega_{-s}(t_1)e^{-s[\log]}}{s(s+1)}\frac{\Gamma(-t_1)\Gamma(\frac{t_2}{\alpha})}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1+t_1}{\alpha}\right)}(\sigma\overline{\tau})^{-(1+t_1+t_2)}d\underline{X} \qquad (57)$$

where we have introduced the vectors $\underline{X}=(s,t_1,t_2)$ and $\underline{c}=(c_s,c_{t_1},c_{t_2})$.

Singular structure in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Let us split the $\underline{X}$-vector into two components, that is

$$\underline{X} \;=\; (S,\underline{t}),\;\; \underline{t}=(t_1,t_2) \qquad (58)$$

and, similarly,

$$\underline{c} \;=\; (c_s,\underline{c_t}),\;\; \underline{c_t}=(c_{t_1},c_{t_2}) \qquad (59)$$

Let us also define the two kernels:

$$\begin{cases} N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2) & = \dfrac{1}{2i\pi}\displaystyle\int_{c_s-i\infty}^{c_s+i\infty}(-1)^{-\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}s^{-\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}\dfrac{\Omega_{-s}(t_1)e^{-s[\log]}}{s}ds \\ N_\alpha^{(-1)}(t_1,t_2) & = \dfrac{1}{2i\pi}\displaystyle\int_{c_s-i\infty}^{c_s+i\infty}(-1)^{-\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}s^{-\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}\dfrac{\Omega_{-s}(t_1)e^{-s[\log]}}{s+1}ds \end{cases} \tag{60}$$

and the corresponding complex 2-forms

$$\begin{cases} \omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t}) \;=\; K2^{\frac{t_1+t_2}{\alpha}}N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2)\dfrac{\Gamma(-t_1)\Gamma(\frac{t_2}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{1+t_1}{\alpha})}(\sigma\overline{\tau})^{-(1+t_1+t_2)}dt_1\wedge dt_2 \\ \omega_\alpha^{(-1)}(\underline{t}) \;=\; K2^{\frac{t_1+t_2}{\alpha}}N_\alpha^{(-1)}(t_1,t_2)\dfrac{\Gamma(-t_1)\Gamma(\frac{t_2}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{1+t_1}{\alpha})}(\sigma\overline{\tau})^{-(1+t_1+t_2)}dt_1\wedge dt_2 \end{cases} \tag{61}$$

Using the fact that $-\frac{1}{s(s+1)} = -\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{s+1}$, (57) can therefore be rewritten as:

$$V_\alpha(S,K,\tau) \;=\; e^{-r\tau}\frac{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{\alpha^2}\frac{1}{(2i\pi)^2}\int_{\underline{c_t}+i\mathbb{R}^2} -\omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t})+\omega_\alpha^{(-1)}(\underline{t}) \tag{62}$$

Formula (62) is crucial; combined with (44), it shows that the problem of evaluating the call price can be reduced to the problem of computing the $\mathbb{C}^2$-residues of two complex 2-forms.

The $\omega_\alpha^{(0)}$-related singularities.

The Gamma function being singular at every negative integer, it is clear that the two families $D_\alpha^{(1)}$ and $D_\alpha^{(2)}$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} D_\alpha^{(1)} \;=\; \{t_1 = n,\, n\in\mathbb{N}\} \\ D_\alpha^{(2)} \;=\; \{t_2 = -\alpha m,\, m\in\mathbb{N}\} \end{cases} \tag{63}$$

are the two divisors of the complex 2-form $\omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t})$. Therefore, at every point belonging to the intersection $D_\alpha := D_\alpha^{(1)}\cap D_\alpha^{(2)}$, $\omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t})$ possesses a residue that is essentially determined by the value of the $N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2)$ kernel. Before discussing theses values, note that we can in fact make $c_s\to 0$ in the definition

of $N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2)$ because of the analyticity of the integrand on the strip $\langle c_s, 0\rangle$; then use the change of variables $s \to 2i\pi s$ to get:

$$N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2) = (-1)^{-\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}(2i\pi)^{-(1+\frac{t_2}{\alpha})}\langle s^{-(1+\frac{t_2}{\alpha})}\Omega_{-2i\pi s}(t_1), e^{-2i\pi s[\log]}\rangle \tag{64}$$

where the $\langle , \rangle$ braket stands for the distributional duality.

- If $t_2 \in D_\alpha^{(2)} \setminus \{0\}$, that is $t_2 = -\alpha, -2\alpha, \ldots$, then $-(1+\frac{t_2}{\alpha}) \geq 0$. From (30), for any integer $t_1 \in D_\alpha^{(1)}$, $\Omega_{-2i\pi s}(t_1)$ coincides with $(-2i\pi)^{-t_1}\delta_{(s)}^{(t_1)}$; moreover, using the well-known identity for delta functions ([Poularikas, 1999]):

$$s^N\delta_{(s)}^{(M)} = \begin{cases} (-1)^N \dfrac{M!}{(M-N)!}\delta_{(s)}^{(M-N)} \text{ for } M \geq N \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 \text{ for } M < N \end{cases} \tag{65}$$

  then (64) becomes

$$N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2) = (-1)^{-(1+t_1+2\frac{t_2}{\alpha})}(2i\pi)^{-(1+t_1+\frac{t_2}{\alpha})}\frac{t_1!}{(1+t_1+\frac{t_2}{\alpha})!}\langle \delta_{(s)}^{(1+t_1+\frac{t_2}{\alpha})}, e^{-2i\pi s[\log]}\rangle \tag{66}$$

  at the condition that $t_1 \geq -(1+\frac{t_2}{\alpha})$. Performing the duality bracket, we are left with:

$$N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{(-1)^{-(1+t_1+2\frac{t_2}{\alpha})}t_1!}{(1+t_1+\frac{t_2}{\alpha})!}[\log]^{1+t_1+\frac{t_2}{\alpha}} \text{ for } 1+t_1+\dfrac{t_2}{\alpha} \geq 0 \\ 0 \text{ for } 1+t_1+\dfrac{t_2}{\alpha} < 0 \end{cases} \tag{67}$$

- If $t_2 = 0$ then (64) reduces to

$$N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2) = \langle \frac{\Omega_{-2i\pi s}(t_1)}{2i\pi s}, e^{-2i\pi s[\log]}\rangle \tag{68}$$

  – If $t_1 = -1$, we know from (32) that $\frac{\Omega_{-2i\pi s}}{2i\pi s}$ has a pole whose residue is $\delta_{(s)}$ and therefore we write

$$N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2) \underset{t_1 \to -1}{\sim} \frac{1}{1+t_1}\langle \delta_{(s)}, e^{-2i\pi s[\log]}\rangle = \frac{1}{1+t_1} \tag{69}$$

  Note we have juste pointed out that, in addition of the divisors set D_α, $\omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t})$ also possesses an isolated singularity in $(t_1,t_2) = (-1,0)$. We will write $\overline{D_\alpha} := (-1,0) \cup D_\alpha$.

– If $t_1 \geq 0$ then $N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2)$ is regular; applying again the relation (32) yields

$$\begin{aligned} N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2) &= \frac{1}{1+t_1}\langle \Omega_{-2i\pi s}(1+t_1), e^{-2i\pi s[\log]}\rangle \\ &= \frac{(-2i\pi)^{-(1+t_1)}}{1+t_1}\langle \delta_{(s)}^{(1+t_1)}, e^{-2i\pi s[\log]}\rangle \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{1+t_1}}{1+t_1}[\log]^{1+t_1} \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Let us sum up this discussion:

Behavior of the $N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2)$-kernel in the singular set $\overline{D_\alpha}$

→ *If $(t_1,t_2)=(-1,0)$, $N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2)$ is singular and*

$$N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2) \sim \frac{1}{1+t_1} \tag{71}$$

→ *If $(t_1,t_2)\in D$, $N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2)$ is regular and*

$$\textit{If } t_2 = 0\ ,\ \ N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2) = \frac{(-1)^{1+t_1}}{1+t_1}[\log]^{1+t_1} \tag{72}$$

$$\textit{If } t_2 < 0\ ,\ \ N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2) = \frac{(-1)^{-(1+t_1+2\frac{t_2}{\alpha})}t_1!}{(1+t_1+\frac{t_2}{\alpha})!}[\log]^{1+t_1+\frac{t_2}{\alpha}} \tag{73}$$

above the critical line $1+t_1+\dfrac{t_2}{\alpha}=0$

The $\omega_\alpha^{(-1)}$-related singularities.

Making the change of variables $s \to -1+s$ in the definition of $N_\alpha^{(-1)}(t_1,t_2)$ in (60) brings back to the same study than we have just done for $\omega_\alpha^{(0)}$: indeed, under this change of variables $N_\alpha^{(-1)}(t_1,t_2)$ reads

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi}\int_{c_s-i\infty}^{c_s+i\infty}(-1)^{-\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}(-1+s)^{-\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}\frac{\Omega_{1-s}(t_1)e^{(1-s)[\log]}}{s}ds \tag{74}$$

and therefore it is clear that we can duplicate the study we have just made for $\omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t})$ to $\omega_\alpha^{(-1)}(\underline{t})$:

Behavior of the $N_\alpha^{(-1)}(t_1,t_2)$-kernel in the singular set $\overline{D_\alpha}$

$\rightarrow$ *$\omega_{BS}^{(0)}(\underline{t})$ and $\omega_{BS}^{(-1)}(\underline{t})$ share the same singular set $\overline{D_\alpha}$;*

$\rightarrow$ *The values of $N_\alpha^{(-1)}(t_1,t_2)$ in this singular set are deduced from the values of $N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2)$ by the relation*

$$\begin{aligned} N_\alpha^{(-1)}(t_1,t_2) &= N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2)(-1)^{-\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}e^{+s[\log]} \\ &= N_\alpha^{(0)}(t_1,t_2)(-1)^{-\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}e^{r\tau}\frac{S}{K} \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$



Figure 1: The singularities in $\overline{D_\alpha}$, with the contribution they generate ($\Sigma := \sigma\underline{\tau}$). In the purple zone, the isolated singularity whose residue is computed from (71); in the blue zone, the singularities on the $t_2 = 0$ axis whose residues are computed from (72); in the green zone, the rest of the singularities, whose residues are computed from (73). The singularities below the critical line $1 + t_1 + \frac{t_2}{\alpha} = 0$ do not contribute to the option price. The whole singular set $\overline{D_\alpha}$ is contained into a cone Π whose faces φ_1 and φ_2 are compatible with the divisors $D_\alpha^{(1)}$ and $D_\alpha^{(2)}$.

Residue summation

Consider the integration line over t_1 (resp. t_2) to be the boundary of a right (resp. left) strip in $\mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{cases} c_{t_1} + i\mathbb{R} \ = \ \partial\langle c_{t_1}, +\infty\rangle \\ c_{t_2} + i\mathbb{R} \ = \ \partial\langle -\infty, c_{t_2}\rangle \end{cases} \tag{76}$$

We thus have:

$$\underline{c_t} + i\mathbb{R}^2 \ = \partial\langle c_{t_1}, +\infty\rangle \times \partial\langle -\infty, c_{t_2}\rangle \tag{77}$$

$$= \partial_0\Pi \tag{78}$$

where Π is the cone in $\mathbb{C}^2$ defined by

$$\Pi \ := \ \{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2, \ Re(t_1) > c_{t_1}, \ Re(t_2) < c_{t_2}\} \tag{79}$$

Its faces are the subsets

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1 \ := \ \{Re(t_1) = c_{t_1}\} \\ \varphi_2 \ := \ \{Re(t_2) = c_{t_2}\} \end{cases} \tag{80}$$

and its vertex is the intersection $\varphi_1 \cap \varphi_2 = \underline{c_t} + i\mathbb{R}^2$. If we choose $c_{t_1} < -1$ and $c_{t_2} > 0$, then the faces and the divisors satisfy the compatibility condition

$$\varphi_1 \cap D_\alpha^{(1)} \ = \ \varphi_2 \cap D_\alpha^{(2)} \ = \ \emptyset \tag{81}$$

and the cone Π contains the whole singular set $\overline{D_\alpha}$; therefore, we can compute (62) by the residue formula (44):

$$V_\alpha(S, K, \tau) \ = \ e^{-r\tau} \frac{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{\alpha^2} \sum_{\underline{t_k} \in \overline{D_\alpha} \cap \Pi} -\mathrm{Res}_{\underline{t_k}} \, \omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t}) + \mathrm{Res}_{\underline{t_k}} \, \omega_\alpha^{(-1)}(\underline{t}) \tag{82}$$

We now proceed to the computation of the residues; to that extent, we recall the two singular series which derive directly from (19):

$$\Gamma(-t_1) \asymp \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{-(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{1}{n - t_1} \ , \ \Gamma(\frac{t_2}{\alpha}) \asymp \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha n + t_2} \tag{83}$$

and we introduce the quantity

$$\Sigma \ := \ \sigma\underline{\tau} \ = \ \sigma\Big(\frac{\tau}{\eta}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{84}$$

Contribution from the isolated singularity $(-1,0)$

We know from (71) and (83) that, around $(-1,0)$, $\omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t})$ admits the behavior:

$$\omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t}) \underset{\underline{t}\to(-1,0)}{\sim} K\frac{2^{\frac{t_1+t_2}{\alpha}}}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1+t_1}{\alpha}\right)}\Sigma^{-(1+t_1+t_2)}\frac{dt_1}{1+t_1}\wedge\frac{\alpha dt_2}{t_2} \tag{85}$$

Using the Cauchy formula (35), we therefore have

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\underline{t}=(-1,0)}[\omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t})] \;=\; K\alpha 2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{86}$$

Moreover, it follows from (75) that

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\underline{t}=(-1,0)}[\omega_\alpha^{(-1)}(\underline{t})] \;=\; Se^{r\tau}\alpha 2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{87}$$

and therefore, replacing in (82), that we have just obtained the first terms in the expansion of the call price:

Contribution from the isolated singularity $(-1,0)$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}(S-Ke^{-r\tau}) \tag{88}$$

Contributions from the line $t_2=0$

A singularity on this line can be written as $(n,0)$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$; we know from (83) and (72) that $\omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t})$ has the the behavior:

$$\omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t}) \underset{\underline{t}\to(n,0)}{\sim} K\frac{2^{\frac{t_1+t_2}{\alpha}}}{\Gamma(1-\frac{1+t_1}{\alpha})}\frac{(-1)^{1+t_1}[\log]^{1+t_1}}{1+t_1}\Sigma^{-(1+t_1+t_2)}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}\frac{dt_1}{n-t_1}\wedge\frac{\alpha dt_2}{t_2} \tag{89}$$

and therefore by the Cauchy Formula (35)

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\underline{t}=(n,0)}[\omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t})] \;=\; \frac{K\alpha 2^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}}{\Gamma(1-\frac{1+n}{\alpha})}\frac{1}{(1+n)!}[\log]^{1+n}\Sigma^{-1-n} \tag{90}$$

Using (75) to deduce the contribution from $\omega_\alpha^{(-1)}$, then replacing in (82), the total contribution from the line $t_2=0$ is:

Contribution from the line $t_2 = 0$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{2^{\frac{1+n}{\alpha}}}{(1+n)!\Gamma(1-\frac{1+n}{\alpha})}(S-Ke^{-r\tau})[\log]^{1+n}\Sigma^{-1-n} \tag{91}$$

Contributions from the rest of $\overline{D_\alpha}$

These singularities can be written as $(n, -\alpha m)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with the condition $1 + n - m \geq 0$; we know from (83) and (73) that $\omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t})$ has the the behavior:

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t}) \underset{\underline{t}\to(n,-\alpha m)}{\sim} K\frac{2^{\frac{t_1+t_2}{\alpha}}}{\Gamma(1-\frac{1+t_1}{\alpha})}\times \\ &\frac{(-1)^{-(1+t_1+2\frac{t_2}{\alpha})}t_1![\log]^{1+t_1+\frac{t_2}{\alpha}}}{(1+t_1+\frac{t_2}{\alpha})!}\Sigma^{-(1+t_1+t_2)}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}\frac{dt_1}{n-t_1}\wedge\frac{(-1)^m}{m!}\frac{\alpha dt_2}{t_2}\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

and therefore by the Cauchy Formula (35)

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\underline{t}=(n,-\alpha m)}[\omega_\alpha^{(0)}(\underline{t})] \;=\; \frac{K\alpha 2^{\frac{n}{\alpha}-m}}{\Gamma(1-\frac{1+n}{\alpha})}\frac{(-1)^m}{(1+n-m)!}[\log]^{1+n-m}\Sigma^{-1-n+\alpha m} \tag{93}$$

Using (75) to deduce the contribution from $\omega_\alpha^{(-1)}$, then replacing in (82), the total contribution from this region is:

Contribution from the rest of $\overline{D_\alpha}$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}\sum_{\substack{n\geq 0\\ m\geq 1}}\frac{2^{\frac{1+n}{\alpha}-m}}{(1+n-m)!\Gamma(1-\frac{1+n}{\alpha})}(S-(-1)^m Ke^{-r\tau})[\log]^{1+n-m}\Sigma^{-1-n+\alpha m} \tag{94}$$

It appears that the three contributions (88), (91) and (94) can be grouped under the same summation; we have therefore obtained a simple and very efficient formula:

Pricing formula for the Lévy-stable model

Let $[\log] = \log\frac{S}{K} + r\tau$ *and* $\Sigma = \sigma\Big(\frac{\tau}{-\cos\alpha\frac{\pi}{2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$*; then, under maximal negative skewness assumption and for any stability parameter* $1 < \alpha \leq 2$*, the Levy-stable price of an European call option is*

$$V_\alpha(S,K,\tau) = \frac{1}{\alpha}\times \sum_{\substack{n\geq -1\\ m\geq 0}} \frac{2^{\frac{1+n}{\alpha}-m}}{(1+n-m)!m!\Gamma(1-\frac{1+n}{\alpha})}(S-(-1)^m Ke^{-r\tau}))[\log]^{1+n-m}\Sigma^{-1-n+\alpha m} \tag{95}$$

Particular case: In the degenerate case $\alpha = 2$, $\Sigma = \sigma\sqrt{\tau}$ and $\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\frac{1+n}{2})}$ is null if n is a positive odd integer because of the divergence of the gamma function at these points; we are only left with positive even integers, at which one may use the particular values for the Gamma function [Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972]:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-n)} = (-1)^n\frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}n!\sqrt{\pi}} \tag{96}$$

Replacing in formula (95) yields the series expansion for the Black-Scholes price $V_{BS}(S,K,\tau) = V_2(S,K,\tau)$:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{BS}(S,K,\tau) = {} & \frac{1}{2}(S-Ke^{-r\tau}) \\ & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{\substack{n\geq 0\\ m\geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n(2n)!}{2^{n+m}n!m!(1+2n-m)!}(S-(-1)^m Ke^{-r\tau})) \\ & \times[\log]^{1+2n-m}(\sigma\sqrt{\tau})^{-1+2(m-n)} \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

which is a series expansion in odd powers of $\sigma\sqrt{\tau}$, as expected from (7).

The Lévy-stable pricing formula in practice

In the next table we give numerical values for the (n,m)-term in the series (95) for at-the-money ($S = K = 4000$) option price under Lévy stable model

with $\alpha = 1.9$. We see that only the very first terms of the series are needed to ensure a convergence to the option price with a precision of 10^{-3} (this precision can be arbitrarily improved by considering the following terms of the series). Note that the result is largely dominated by the contribution in $(n, m) = (0, 1)$, corresponding to the term in $\Sigma^{\alpha-1}$ (in the BS case, the term in $\sigma\sqrt{\tau}$). The Lévy-stable price here is 483.060, while the BS price is 416.141.

	-1	**0**	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
0	20.9477	0.6412	-0.0017	-0.0002	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
1		466.11272	-2.5408	-0.3926	0.0030	0.0002	0.0000
2			-0.0231	-0.0071	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
3				-1.7287	0.03900	0.0044	0.0000
4					0.0001	0.0000	0.0000
5						0.0058	0.0003
6							0.0000
	20.948	**487.702**	**485.136**	**483.007**	**483.050**	**483.060**	**483.060**

In Fig.2 we plot the option price in function of the underlying price, for different values of the stability parameter α.

OTHER LÉVY-RELATED MODELS.

In this section, we briefly present some models to consider if one wishes to extend the formula (95); if some of these extensions are immediate, others need more in-depth reflections and constitute an excellent perspective of future works.

Time-fractional Lévy-stable model

An interesting way of generalizing the Lévy-stable model is to introduce a fractional time-derivative in the space-fractional diffusion (45)

$$D_t^\gamma g_\alpha^\gamma \, + \, \lambda D_y^\alpha g_\alpha^\gamma \, = \, 0 \tag{98}$$

where D_t^γ is a so-called *Caputo derivative* of order γ (coinciding with the usual time-derivative for $\gamma = 1$); it is shown in [Mainardi *et al.*, 2005] that



Figure 2: Value of the call price under Log-Lévy model for different values of α and in function of the underlying price, for fixed strike $K = 4000$, market volatility $\sigma = 25\%$ and time $\tau = 1y$.

the general Green function reads

$$g^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\theta}(y) \;=\; \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\alpha}\frac{1}{2i\pi}\int\limits_{c_{t_1}-i\infty}^{c_{t_1}+i\infty}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{t_1}{\alpha})\Gamma(1-\frac{t_1}{\alpha})\Gamma(1-t_1)}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}t_1)\Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\theta}{2\alpha}t_1)\Gamma(1-\frac{\alpha-\theta}{2\alpha}t_1)}\,y^{t_1-1}\,dt_1 \qquad (99)$$

Under the maximal skewness assumption $\theta = \alpha - 2$, the Green function $g^{\gamma}_{\alpha} := g^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\alpha-2}$ thus reads

$$g^{\gamma}_{\alpha}(y) \;=\; \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\alpha}\frac{1}{2i\pi}\int\limits_{c_{t_1}-i\infty}^{c_{t_1}+i\infty}\frac{\Gamma(1-t_1)}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}t_1)}\,y^{t_1-1}\,dt_1 \qquad (100)$$

The Green representation for the option price is then [Kleinert and Korbel, 2016]:

$$V^{\gamma}_{\alpha}(S,K,\tau) \;=\; e^{-r\tau}\frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\alpha}\times$$
$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}[Se^{(r-\frac{\sigma^{\alpha}}{2\eta})\tau+y}-K]^{+}\frac{1}{2i\pi}\int\limits_{c_{t_1}-i\infty}^{c_{t_1}+i\infty}\frac{\Gamma(1-t_1)}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}t_1)}\,2^{\frac{t_1}{\alpha}}(\sigma\bar{\bar{\tau}})^{-t_1}y^{t_1-1}\,dt_1dy \qquad (101)$$

where we denote $\bar{\bar{\tau}} := (\frac{\tau^\gamma}{\eta})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$. It is immediate to adapt the pricing formula (95) and obtain:

$$V_\alpha^\gamma(S,K,\tau) \;=\; \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\alpha}\times \sum_{\substack{n\geq -1\\ m\geq 0}} \frac{2^{\frac{1+n}{\alpha}-m}}{(1+n-m)!m!\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}(1+n))}(S-(-1)^m Ke^{-r\tau}))[\log]^{1+n-m}\Sigma_\gamma^{-1-n+\alpha m} \tag{102}$$

where $\Sigma_\gamma \;=\; \sigma\Big(\frac{\tau^\gamma}{-\cos\alpha\frac{\pi}{2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$. A similar formula can also be obtained when considering a Riesz-Feller time-derivative instead of the Caputo one; these results will be detailed in a future article.

Lévy-stable model with arbitrary θ

Without maximal negative skewness condition, the Green function is [Mainardi *et al.*, 2005]:

$$g_{\alpha,\theta}(y) \;=\; \frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{1}{2i\pi}\int_{c_{t_1}-i\infty}^{c_{t_1}+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{t_1}{\alpha})\Gamma(1-t_1)}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\theta}{2\alpha}t_1)\Gamma(1-\frac{\alpha-\theta}{2\alpha}t_1)}\, y^{t_1-1}\, dt_1 \tag{103}$$

and therefore, when summing the residues in the cone Π, one expects the $\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\frac{1+n}{\alpha})}$ term in the pricing formula (95) to be replaced by

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1+n}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\theta}{2\alpha}(1+n))\Gamma(1-\frac{\alpha-\theta}{2\alpha}(1+n))} \tag{104}$$

The presence of $\Gamma(\frac{t_1}{\alpha})$-induced singularities in the $Re(t_1)<0$ half-plane implies the existence of a second cone containing singularities, and it is for the moment unclear to the authors whether this cone contains some financially relevant information. However, before engaging into this study, one should keep in mind that this model generates infinite moments and potentially divergent option prices, and thus should be completed by suitable regularization assumptions or resummation of the resulting series [Kleinert and Schulte-Frohlinde, 2001, Kleinert, 2009].

CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES.

Formula (95) is a new and efficient analytic formula in the non-Gaussian world; it provides the market practitioner with a simple tool that can easily be implemented in an Excel sheet, without possessing any coding skills. The series terms are straightforward to evaluate by simple functions - the only "transcendence" being carried by the particular values of the Gamma function, that are easily performed with Excel.
The convergence is fast enough to ensure excellent levels of precision only with summing the first few terms of the series; moreover, as there is no need to use a simulation, there is no statistical error and the degree of precision is arbitrarily high (it suffices to take more term in consideration when performing the sum).
We have also given the way to extend the formula to other Lévy related models; the extension to time-fractional Lévy-stable models is immediate, and Lévy processes with arbitrary asymmetry can also be considered within the same framework if one suitably renormalizes the divergence. Future works should include a proper consideration of these models, as well as incorporating other features such as stochastic volatility for instance.
Solving PDE with multidimensional complex analysis tools applies to a wide range of problems, and our "distributional trick" is particularly suitable when a Green formulation is involved. The authors also plan to apply this method to other financial models to get precise estimates without having to use numerical tools.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

References

[Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972] Abramowitz M. and Stegun, I., Handbook of mathematical functions, 1972, Dover Publications

[Aguilar *et al.*, 2008] Aguilar, J. P., Greynat, D. and de Rafael, E., Muon anomaly from lepton vacuum polarization and the Mellin-Barnes representation, 2008, Physical Review D, **77**, 093010

[Aguilar, 2008] Aguilar, J. P., Représentation de Mellin-Barnes et anomalie magnétique du muon, 2008, PhD Thesis CPT-CNRS Marseille

[Black and Scholes, 1973] Black, F. and Scholes, M., The pricing of options and corporates liabilities, Journal of Political Economy, 1973, **81**, 637

[Bouchaud *et al.* 1997] Bouchaud, J. P. and Cont, R. and Potters, M., Scaling in financial data: stable laws and beyond. In *Scale invariance and beyond*, 1997, Springer (Dubrulle, Granette, Sornette eds.)

[Carr and Wu, 2003] Carr, P. and Wu, L., The finite moment log-stable process and option pricing, Journal of Finance, 2003, **38**, 34–105

[Donoghue, 1969] Donoghue, W., Distributions and Fourier transform, 1969, Academic Press

[Erdélyi *et al.* 1954] Erdélyi, O. F. and Magnus, W. and Tricomi, G., Table of integral transforms, 1954, McGraw & Hill

[Fama, 1965] Fama, E. F., The behavior of stock market prices, Journal of Business, 1965, **38**, 34–105

[Flajolet *et al.* 1995] Flajolet, P. and Gourdon, X. and Dumas, P., Mellin transform and asymptotics: Harmonic sums, Theoretical Computer Science, 1995, **144**, 3–58

[Franssens, 2011] Franssens, G., One-dimensional associated homogeneous distributions, Bull. Math. Anal., 2011, **3(2)**, 1–60

[Frontczak and Shobel, 2008] Frontczak, R. and Shobel, R., Pricing American options with Mellin transform, 2008, Tubinger Diskussionsbeitrag, **319**, available online at http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:21-opus-37353 (accessed 22 August 2016)

[Gel'fand and Shilov, 1964] Gel'fand, W., Shilov, G. E., Generalized functions Vol. I, 1964, Academic Press

[Gorenflo and Mainardi, 2012] Gorenflo, R. and Mainardi, F., Parametric subordination in fractional diffusion processes. In *Fractional dynamics: recent advances*, 2012, World Scientific Publishing

[Griffiths and Harris, 1978] Griffiths, P. and Harris, J., Principles of Algebraic Geometry, 1978, Wiley & Sons

[Hurst *et al.*, 1999] Husrt, S.R., Platen, E. and Rachev, S., Option pricing for a logstable asset price model, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 1999, **29**, 105–119

[Kleinert and Schulte-Frohlinde, 2001] Kleinert, H. and Schulte-Frohlinde, V., Critical Properties of Φ^4-theories, 2001, World Scientific

[Kleinert, 2009] Kleinert, H., Path integrals in quantum mechanics, statistics, polymer physics and financial markets, 2009, World Scientific

[Kleinert, 2013] Kleinert, H., Quantum field theory of black-swan events, Foundation of Physics, 2013, **1**, available online at http://klnrt.de/409 (accessed 22 August 2016)

[Kleinert and Korbel, 2016] Kleinert, H. and Korbel, J., Option pricing beyond Black-Scholes based on double-fractional diffusion, Physica A, 2016, **449**, 200–214

[Mainardi *et al.*, 2005] Mainardi, F., Pagnini, G., and Saxena, R., Fox H-functions in fractional diffusions, 2005, Journal of computational and applied mathematics , **178**, 321-331

[Mandelbrot, 1963] Mandelbrot, B., The variation of certain speculative prices, Journal of Business, 1963, **36**, 394–419

[Manuge, 2013] Manuge, D., Option pricing and the Mellin transform, 2013, Master's Thesis University of Guelph

[Passare *et al.*, 1994] Passare, M., Tsikh, A. and Zhdanov, O., A multidimensional Jordan residue lemma with an application to Mellin-Barnes integrals, 1994, Aspects of Mathematics, **E26**, 233-241

[Poularikas, 1999] Poularikas, A. D., The handbook of formulas and tables for signal processing, 1999, CRC Press LLC

[Tankov and Poirot, 2006] Tankov, P. and Poirto, J., Monte-Carlo option pricing for tempered stable (CGMY) process, 2006, Asia Pacific Financial Markets, **13**, 4

[Wilmott, 2006] Wilmott, P., Paul Wilmott on Quantitative Finance, 2006, Wiley & sons